PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2004/005128 10.12.2004 12.12.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61F13/20, A61F13/15 Applicant MCGUINNESS, Keir 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Douskas, K

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3525



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005128

Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:							
☐ a sequence listing							
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing							
b. format of material:							
☐ in written format							
☐ in computer readable form							
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
☐ contained in the international application as filed.							
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005128

_	Во	x No. II	Priority						
1	. 🗆	The fol	e following document has not been furnished:						
	☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).								
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b). Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	. 🗆	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	. ⊠	The International Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed was not available to the International Searching Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:								
		No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	ler Rule 43	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) wi	th regard to novelt	y, inventive step or	
1.		ement	pprocedurey, citat	ons and t	expianatio	ns supportin	g such statement		
	Nov	olty (All)			.				
	INOV	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	4-8			
				NO.	Ciaiiis	1-3,9-26			
	Inve	ntive ste	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-26			
	Indu	strial an	plicability (IA)	Yes:	Claima	4.00			
		otriai ap _l	phoability (IA)	No:	Claims Claims	1-26			
				110.	Ciairis				
2.	Citat	ions and	l explanations						
	see :	separate	e sheet						
		-							
	Davi	No W							
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet